



TITLE:

# ARGUMENT ESTIMATES OF CERTAIN MEROMORPHIC FUNCTIONS (New Extension of Historical Theorems for Univalent Function Theory)

AUTHOR(S):

Cho, Nak Eun; Woo, Soon Young; Owa, Shigeyoshi

---

CITATION:

Cho, Nak Eun ...[et al]. ARGUMENT ESTIMATES OF CERTAIN MEROMORPHIC FUNCTIONS (New Extension of Historical Theorems for Univalent Function Theory). 数理解析研究所講究録 2000, 1164: 1-11

ISSUE DATE:

2000-07

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/64314>

RIGHT:

## ARGUMENT ESTIMATES OF CERTAIN MEROMORPHIC FUNCTIONS

Nak Eun Cho, Soon Young Woo and Shigeyoshi Owa

**Abstract.** The object of the present paper is to derive some argument properties of certain meromorphic functions in the punctured open unit disk. Furthermore, we investigate their integral-preserving property in a sector.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $\Sigma$  denote the class of functions of the form

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n,$$

which are analytic in the punctured open unit disk  $\mathcal{D} = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } 0 < |z| < 1\}$ . We denote by  $\Sigma^*(\gamma)$  the subclasses of  $\Sigma$  consisting of all functions which is meromorphic starlike order  $\gamma$  in  $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{D} \cup \{0\}$  ( $0 \leq \gamma < 1$ ).

For analytic functions  $g$  and  $h$  with  $g(0) = h(0)$ ,  $g$  is said to be subordinate to  $h$  if there exists an analytic function  $w$  such that  $w(0) = 0, |w(z)| < 1$  ( $z \in \mathcal{U}$ ), and  $g(z) = h(w(z))$ . We denote this subordination by  $g \prec h$  or  $g(z) \prec h(z)$ . Let

$$\Sigma^*[A, B] = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}; -1 \leq B < A \leq 1) \right\}. \quad (1.1)$$

In particular, we note that  $\Sigma^*[1 - 2\gamma, -1] = \Sigma^*(\gamma)$  ( $0 \leq \gamma < 1$ ). Furthermore, from (1.1), we observe [5] that a function  $f$  is in  $\Sigma^*[A, B]$  if and only if

$$\left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \frac{1 - AB}{1 - B^2} \right| < \frac{A - B}{1 - B^2} \quad (-1 < B < A \leq 1; z \in \mathcal{U}). \quad (1.2)$$

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 30C45.

*Key words and phrases.* Argument properties, meromorphic starlike functions, subordination, meromorphic close-to-convex functions, univalent functions, integral operator.

Typeset by  $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}$ - $\mathcal{T}\mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}$

N. E. CHO, S. Y. WOO AND S. OWA

A function  $f \in \Sigma$  is said to be in the class  $\Sigma_c(\gamma, \beta)$  if there is a meromorphic starlike function  $g$  of order  $\gamma$  such that

$$-\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} \right\} > \beta \quad (0 \leq \beta < 1; z \in \mathcal{U}).$$

Libera and Robertson [2] showed that  $\Sigma_c(0, 0)$ , the class of meromorphic close-to-convex functions, is not univalent. Also,  $\Sigma_c(\gamma, \beta)$  provides an interesting generalization of the class of meromorphic close-to-convex functions [6].

In the present paper, we give some argument properties of the aforementioned classes of meromorphic functions in the open unit disk. An application of a certain integral operator is also considered.

## 2. Main Results

In proving our main results, we need the following lemmas.

**Lemma 2.1 [1].** *Let  $h$  be convex univalent in  $\mathcal{U}$  with  $h(0) = 1$  and  $\operatorname{Re}(\beta h(z) + \gamma) > 0$  ( $\beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}$ ). If  $q$  is analytic in  $\mathcal{U}$  with  $q(0) = 1$ , then*

$$q(z) + \frac{zq'(z)}{\beta q(z) + \gamma} \prec h(z) \quad (z \in \mathcal{U})$$

*implies*

$$q(z) \prec h(z) \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}).$$

**Lemma 2.2 [3].** *Let  $h$  be convex univalent in  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $\lambda$  be analytic in  $\mathcal{U}$  with  $\operatorname{Re} \lambda(z) \geq 0$ . If  $q$  is analytic in  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $q(0) = h(0)$ , then*

$$q(z) + \lambda(z)zq'(z) \prec h(z) \quad (z \in \mathcal{U})$$

*implies*

$$q(z) \prec h(z) \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}).$$

**Lemma 2.3 [4].** *Let  $q$  be analytic in  $U$  with  $q(0) = 1$  and  $q(z) \neq 0$  in  $U$ . Suppose that there exists a point  $z_0 \in U$  such that*

$$\left| \arg q(z) \right| < \frac{\pi}{2} \eta \text{ for } |z| < |z_0| \quad (2.1)$$

## ARGUMENT ESTIMATES

and

$$\left| \arg q(z_0) \right| = \frac{\pi}{2} \eta \quad (0 < \eta \leq 1). \quad (2.2)$$

Then we have

$$\frac{z_0 q'(z_0)}{q(z_0)} = ik\eta, \quad (2.3)$$

where

$$k \geq \frac{1}{2} \left( a + \frac{1}{a} \right) \quad \text{when } \arg q(z_0) = \frac{\pi}{2} \eta \quad (2.4)$$

$$k \leq -\frac{1}{2} \left( a + \frac{1}{a} \right) \quad \text{when } \arg q(z_0) = -\frac{\pi}{2} \eta \quad (2.5)$$

and

$$q(z_0)^{\frac{1}{\eta}} = \pm ia \quad (a > 0). \quad (2.6)$$

By using above lemmas, we now derive

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $f \in \Sigma$  and suppose that*

$$(1 + B) > \alpha(2 + A + B) \quad (-1 < B < A \leq 1; 0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}).$$

If

$$\left| \arg \left( -\frac{\alpha z(zf'(z))' + (1 - \alpha)zf'(z)}{\alpha zg'(z) + (1 - \alpha)g(z)} - \beta \right) \right| < \frac{\pi}{2} \delta \quad (0 \leq \beta < 1; 0 < \delta \leq 1)$$

for some  $g \in \Sigma^*[A, B]$ , then

$$\left| \arg \left( -\frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} - \beta \right) \right| < \frac{\pi}{2} \eta,$$

where  $\eta$  ( $0 < \eta \leq 1$ ) is the solution of the equation :

$$\delta = \eta + \frac{2}{\pi} \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{\eta \sin[\frac{\pi}{2}\{1 - t(A, B, \alpha)\}]}{\left(\frac{1+A}{1+B} + \frac{1}{\alpha} - 1\right) + \eta \cos[\frac{\pi}{2}\{1 - t(A, B, \alpha)\}]} \right) \quad (2.7)$$

and

N. E. CHO, S. Y. WOO AND S. OWA

$$t(A, B, \alpha) = \frac{2}{\pi} \sin^{-1} \left( \frac{A - B}{(\frac{1}{\alpha} - 1)(1 - B^2) - (1 - AB)} \right). \quad (2.8)$$

*Proof.* Let

$$q(z) = -\frac{1}{1-\beta} \left( \frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} + \beta \right) \quad \text{and} \quad r(z) = -\frac{zg'(z)}{g(z)}.$$

Then, by a simple calculation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{1}{1-\beta} \left( \frac{\alpha z(zf'(z))' + (1-\alpha)zf'(z)}{\alpha zg'(z) + (1-\alpha)g(z)} + \beta \right) \\ &= q(z) + \frac{zq'(z)}{-r(z) + (\frac{1}{\alpha} - 1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $g \in \Sigma^*[A, B]$ , from (1.2), we have

$$r(z) \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}).$$

If we let

$$-r(z) + \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} - 1\right) = \rho e^{i\frac{\pi\phi}{2}} \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}),$$

then it follows from (1.1) and (1.2) that

$$\begin{cases} \frac{(\frac{1}{\alpha}-1)(1+B)-(1+A)}{1+B} < \rho < \frac{(\frac{1}{\alpha}-1)(1-B)-(1-A)}{1-B} \\ -t(A, B, \alpha) < \phi < t(A, B, \alpha). \end{cases}$$

where  $t(A, B, \alpha)$  is defined by (2.8).

Let  $h$  be a function which maps  $\mathcal{U}$  onto the angular domain  $\{w : |\arg w| < \frac{\pi}{2}\delta\}$  with  $h(0) = 1$ . Applying Lemma 2.2 for this  $h$  with  $\lambda(z) = \frac{1}{-r(z) + \frac{1}{\alpha} - 1}$ , we see that  $\operatorname{Re} q(z) > 0$  in  $\mathcal{U}$  and hence  $q(z) \neq 0$  in  $\mathcal{U}$ .

If there exists a point  $z_0 \in \mathcal{U}$  such that the conditions (2.1) and (2.2) are satisfied, then (by Lemma 1) we obtain (2.3) under the restrictions (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6).

At first, we suppose that

$$\{q(z_0)\}^{\frac{1}{n}} = ia \quad (a > 0).$$

## ARGUMENT ESTIMATES

Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \arg \left( -\frac{\alpha z_0(z_0 f'(z_0))' + (1-\alpha)z_0 f'(z_0)}{\alpha z_0 g'(z_0) + (1-\alpha)g(z_0)} - \beta \right) \\
&= \arg \left( q(z_0) + \frac{z_0 q'(z_0)}{-r(z_0) + (\frac{1}{\alpha} - 1)} \right) \\
&= \arg \left\{ q(z_0) \left( 1 + \frac{z_0 q'(z_0)}{q(z_0)} \frac{1}{-r(z_0) + (\frac{1}{\alpha} - 1)} \right) \right\} \\
&= \arg \{q(z_0)\} + \arg \left( 1 + i\eta k(\rho e^{i\frac{\pi\phi}{2}})^{-1} \right) \\
&= \frac{\pi}{2}\eta + \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{\eta k \sin[\frac{\pi}{2}(1-\phi)]}{\rho + \eta k \cos[\frac{\pi}{2}(1-\phi)]} \right) \\
&\geq \frac{\pi}{2}\eta + \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{\eta \sin[\frac{\pi}{2}\{1-t(A, B, \alpha)\}]}{\left( \frac{(\frac{1}{\alpha}-1)(1-B)-(1-A)}{1-B} \right) + \eta \cos[\frac{\pi}{2}\{1-t(A, B, \alpha)\}]} \right) \\
&= \frac{\pi}{2}\delta,
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\delta$  and  $t(A, B, \alpha)$  are given by (2.7) and (2.8), respectively. This evidently contradict the assumption of Theorem 2.1.

Next, we suppose that

$$q(z_0)^{\frac{1}{\eta}} = -ia \quad (a > 0).$$

Applying the same method as the above, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \arg \left( -\frac{\alpha z_0(z_0 f'(z_0))' + (1-\alpha)z_0 f'(z_0)}{\alpha z_0 g'(z_0) + (1-\alpha)g(z_0)} - \beta \right) \\
&\leq -\frac{\pi}{2}\eta - \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{\eta \sin[\frac{\pi}{2}\{1-t(A, B, \alpha)\}]}{\left( \frac{(\frac{1}{\alpha}-1)(1-B)-(1-A)}{1-B} \right) + \eta \cos[\frac{\pi}{2}\{1-t(A, B, \alpha)\}]} \right) \\
&= -\frac{\pi}{2}\delta,
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\delta$  and  $t(A, B, \alpha)$  are given by (2.7) and (2.8), respectively. This also contradict the assumption of Theorem 2.1. Therefore, we complete the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Letting  $A = 1$ ,  $B = 0$  and  $\delta = 1$  in Theorem 2.1, we have

**Corollary 2.1.** *Let  $f \in \Sigma$ . If*

N. E. CHO, S. Y. WOO AND S. OWA

$$-\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{\alpha z(zf'(z))' + (1-\alpha)zf'(z)}{\alpha zg'(z) + (1-\alpha)g(z)} \right\} > \beta \quad (0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{3}; 0 \leq \beta < 1)$$

for some  $g \in \Sigma$  satisfying the condition :

$$\left| \frac{zg'(z)}{g(z)} + 1 \right| < 1 \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}),$$

then

$$-\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} \right\} > \beta \quad (0 \leq \beta < 1).$$

If we put  $g(z) = \frac{1}{z}$  in Theorem 2.1, then, by letting  $B \rightarrow A$  ( $A < 1$ ), we obtain

**Corollary 2.2.** *Let  $f \in \Sigma$ . If*

$$\left| \arg \left( -\frac{z^2(f'(z) + \alpha zf''(z))}{1-2\alpha} - \beta \right) \right| < \frac{\pi}{2}\delta \quad (0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}; 0 \leq \beta < 1; 0 < \delta \leq 1),$$

then

$$|\arg \{-z^2 f'(z) - \beta\}| < \frac{\pi}{2}\eta,$$

where  $\eta$  ( $0 < \eta \leq 1$ ) is the solution of the equation :

$$\delta = \eta + \frac{2}{\pi} \tan^{-1}(\alpha\eta). \quad (2.9)$$

The proof of Theorem 2.2 below is much akin to that of Theorem 2.1. The details may be omitted.

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $f \in \Sigma$  and suppose that*

$$(1+B) > \alpha(2+A+B) \quad (-1 < B < A \leq 1; 0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}).$$

If

$$\left| \arg \left( \beta + \frac{\alpha z(zf'(z))' + (1-\alpha)zf'(z)}{\alpha zg'(z) + (1-\alpha)g(z)} \right) \right| < \frac{\pi}{2}\delta \quad (\beta > 1; 0 < \delta \leq 1)$$

## ARGUMENT ESTIMATES

for some  $g \in \Sigma^*[A, B]$ , then

$$\left| \arg \left( \beta + \frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} \right) \right| < \frac{\pi}{2}\eta,$$

where  $\eta$  ( $0 < \eta \leq 1$ ) is the solution of the equation (2.7).

For a function  $f$  belonging to the class  $\Sigma$ , we define the integral operator  $F_\alpha$  as follows :

$$F_\alpha(f) := F_\alpha(f)(z) = \frac{1-2\alpha}{\alpha z^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1}} \int_0^z t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-2} f(t) dt \quad (2.10)$$

$$(0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}; z \in \mathcal{D}).$$

The following Lemma will be required for the proof of Theorem 2.3 below.

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $f \in \Sigma$  and let  $h$  be a convex (univalent) function in  $\mathcal{U}$  with  $h(0) = 1$  and  $\operatorname{Re}\{h(z)\} > 0$  in  $\mathcal{U}$ . If*

$$-\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec h(z) \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}),$$

then

$$-\frac{zF'_\alpha(f)}{F_\alpha(f)} \prec h(z) \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}),$$

for  $\max_{z \in \mathcal{U}} \operatorname{Re} h(z) < \frac{1}{\alpha} - 1$  ( $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$ ), where  $F_\alpha$  is defined by (2.10).

*Proof.* From the definition (2.10), we get

$$\alpha z F'_\alpha(f)(z) + (1-\alpha)F_\alpha(f)(z) = (1-2\alpha)f(z) \quad (2.11)$$

Let

$$q(z) = -\frac{zF'_\alpha(f)}{F_\alpha(f)}.$$

Then (2.11) yields

$$q(z) - \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} - 1 \right) = - \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} - 2 \right) \frac{f(z)}{F_\alpha(f)}. \quad (2.12)$$

Taking logarithmic derivatives in (2.12) and multiplying by  $z$ , we get

$$q(z) + \frac{zq'(z)}{-q(z) + \frac{1}{\alpha} - 1} = -\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec h(z) \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}).$$



N. E. CHO, S. Y. WOO AND S. OWA

Therefore, by Lemma 2.1, we have that  $q(z) \prec h(z)$  for  $\max_{z \in \mathcal{U}} \operatorname{Re} h(z) < \frac{1}{\alpha} - 1$  ( $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$ ). This evidently completes the proof of Lemma 2.4.

Next, we prove

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $f \in \Sigma$  and suppose that*

$$(1 + B) > \alpha(2 + A + B) \quad (-1 < B < A \leq 1; 0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}).$$

If

$$\left| \arg \left( -\frac{\alpha z(zf'(z))' + (1 - \alpha)zf'(z)}{\alpha zg'(z) + (1 - \alpha)g(z)} - \beta \right) \right| < \frac{\pi}{2}\delta \quad (0 < \alpha \leq 1; \beta > 1; 0 < \delta \leq 1)$$

for some  $g \in \Sigma^*[A, B]$ , then

$$\left| \arg \left( -\frac{\alpha z(zF'_\alpha(f))' + (1 - \alpha)zF'_\alpha(f)}{\alpha zF'_\alpha(g) + (1 - \alpha)F_\alpha(g)} - \beta \right) \right| < \frac{\pi}{2}\eta, \quad (2.13)$$

where  $F_\alpha$  is given by (2.10) and  $\eta$  ( $0 < \eta \leq 1$ ) is the solution of the equation (2.7).

*Proof.* Since  $g \in \Sigma^*[A, B]$ , by applying Lemma 2.4, the function  $F_\alpha(g)$  belongs to the class  $\Sigma[A, B]$ . Then, from (2.11), we get

$$-\frac{\alpha z(zF'_\alpha(f))' + (1 - \alpha)zF'_\alpha(f)}{\alpha zF'_\alpha(g) + (1 - \alpha)F_\alpha(g)} = -\frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)}.$$

Hence, by the hypothesis and Theorem 2.1, we have (2.13), which completes the proof of Theorem 2.3.

Taking  $A = 1$ ,  $B = 0$  and  $\delta = 1$  in Theorem 2.3, we have

**Corollary 2.3.** *Let  $f \in \Sigma$ . If*

$$-\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{\alpha z(zf'(z))' + (1 - \alpha)zf'(z)}{\alpha zg'(z) + (1 - \alpha)g(z)} \right\} > \beta \quad (0 \leq \beta < 1)$$

for some  $g \in \Sigma$  satisfying the condition :

$$\left| \frac{zg'(z)}{g(z)} + 1 \right| < 1 \quad (z \in \mathcal{U}),$$

then

$$-\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{\alpha z(zF'_\alpha(f))' + (1 - \alpha)zF'_\alpha(f)}{\alpha zF'_\alpha(g) + (1 - \alpha)F_\alpha(g)} \right\} > \beta \quad (0 \leq \beta < 1).$$

## ARGUMENT ESTIMATES

Putting  $g(z) = \frac{1}{z}$  in Theorem 2.3, and then, by letting  $B \rightarrow A$  ( $A < 1$ ), we obtain

**Corollary 2.4.** *Let  $f \in \Sigma$ . If*

$$\left| \arg \left( -\frac{z^2(f'(z) + \alpha z f''(z))}{1 - 2\alpha} - \beta \right) \right| < \frac{\pi}{2} \delta \quad (0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}; 0 \leq \beta < 1; 0 < \delta \leq 1),$$

then

$$\left| \arg \left( -\frac{z^2(F'_\alpha(f) + \alpha z F''_\alpha(f))}{1 - 2\alpha} - \beta \right) \right| < \frac{\pi}{2} \eta \quad (0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}; 0 \leq \beta < 1; 0 < \delta \leq 1),$$

where  $\eta$  ( $0 < \eta \leq 1$ ) is the solution of the equation (2.9)

By a similar method of the proof in Theorem 2.3, we get

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $f \in \Sigma$  and suppose that*

$$(1 + B) > \alpha(2 + A + B) \quad (-1 < B < A \leq 1; 0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}).$$

If

$$\left| \arg \left( \beta + \frac{\alpha z(zf'(z))' + (1 - \alpha)zf'(z)}{\alpha zg'(z) + (1 - \alpha)g(z)} \right) \right| < \frac{\pi}{2} \delta \quad (0 < \alpha \leq 1; \beta > 1; 0 < \delta \leq 1)$$

for some  $g \in \Sigma^*[A, B]$ , then

$$\left| \arg \left( \beta + \frac{\alpha z(zF'_\alpha(f))' + (1 - \alpha)zF'_\alpha(f)}{\alpha zF'_\alpha(g) + (1 - \alpha)F_\alpha(g)} \right) \right| < \frac{\pi}{2} \eta, \quad (2.13)$$

where  $F_\alpha$  is given by (2.10) and  $\eta$  ( $0 < \eta \leq 1$ ) is the solution of the equation (2.7).

Finally, we prove

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $f \in \Sigma$ . If*

$$\left| \arg \left[ -\left( \alpha \frac{(zf'(z))'}{g'(z)} + (1 - \alpha) \frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} \right) - \beta \right] \right| < \frac{\pi}{2} \delta \quad (\alpha < 0; 0 \leq \beta < 1; 0 < \delta \leq 1)$$

N. E. CHO, S. Y. WOO AND S. OWA

for some  $g \in \Sigma^*[A, B]$ , then

$$\left| \arg \left( -\frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} - \beta \right) \right| < \frac{\pi\eta}{2},$$

and  $\eta$  ( $0 < \eta \leq 1$ ) is the solution of the equation :

$$\delta = \eta + \frac{2}{\pi} \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{-\alpha\eta \sin[\frac{\pi}{2}\{1 - \sin^{-1}(\frac{A-B}{1-AB})\}]}{\frac{1+A}{1+B} - \alpha\eta \cos[\frac{\pi}{2}\{1 - \sin^{-1}(\frac{A-B}{1-AB})\}]} \right).$$

*Proof.* Setting

$$q(z) = -\frac{1}{1-\beta} \left( \frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} + \beta \right) \quad \text{and} \quad r(z) = -\frac{zg'(z)}{g(z)},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{1}{1-\beta} \left( \alpha \frac{(zf'(z))'}{g'(z)} + (1-\alpha) \frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} + \beta \right) \\ &= q(z) + \frac{\alpha zq'(z)}{-r(z)}. \end{aligned}$$

The remaining part of the proof of Theorem 2.5 is similar to that of Theorem 2.1, and so we omit it.

**Acknowledgement.** The first author wishes to acknowledge the financial support of the Korea Research Foundation made in the program year of 1997.

### References

1. P. Eenigenburg, S. S. Miller, P. T. Mocanu and M. O. Reade, On a Briot-Bouquet differential subordination, *Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl.* **29**(1984), 567-573.
2. R. J. Libera and M. S. Robertson, Meromorphic close-to-convex functions, *Michigan Math. J.* **8**(1961), 167-176.
3. S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, Differential subordinations and univalent functions, *Michigan Math. J.* **28**(1981), 157-171.
4. M. Nunokawa, On the order of strongly starlikeness of strongly convex functions, *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci.* **69**(1993), 234-237.
5. H. Silverman and E. M. Silvia, Subclasses of starlike functions subordinate to convex functions, *Canad. J. Math.* **37**(1985), 48-61.

## ARGUMENT ESTIMATES

6. R. Singh, Meromorphic Close-to-convex functions, *J. Indian. Math. Soc.* **33**(1969), 13-20.

Nak Eun Cho and Soon Young Woo  
Department of Applied Mathematics  
Pukyong National University  
Pusan 608-737  
Korea  
E-Mail : [necho@pknu.ac.kr](mailto:necho@pknu.ac.kr)

Shigeyoshi Owa  
Department of Mathematics  
Kinki University  
Higashi-Osaka, Osaka 577-8502  
Japan  
E-Mail : [owa@math.kindai.ac.jp](mailto:owa@math.kindai.ac.jp)